Duchenne UK Review Process

Duchenne UK is changing the research landscape through targeted and intelligent funding.

We believe strongly in partnerships, with academics and with biotechs. We fund all forms of research, but we focus on translational projects, using our funds to help get promising therapies out of the laboratory and into the clinic where they can help patients. We support the development of treatments throughout the development pathway, providing access to the insights of people with lived experience of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), advice, infrastructure and funding, to help the design and delivery of research with impact for people with DMD.

We are open to applications for research proposals throughout the year from applicants in academia, industry and other research organisation. Please email research@duchenneuk.org if your project falls within the scope of our research priorities, or is related to DMD in other ways.

As a member of the AMRC, we follow their recommended principles of peer review:

- Accountability – publishing our peer review processes and the members of our research review committees, as well as ensuring reviewers are aware of the 3Rs in research using animal models.
- Independence – seeking written review for applications and ensuring the advice provided by our reviewers is independent of DUK staff
- Balance – ensuring the reviews reflect both scientific and patient views in the field of DMD
- Rotation – having a fixed term of office for members of the review committee
- Impartiality – ensuring reviewers with a conflict of interest do not influence funding decisions.

Our process for review is as follows:

Applications are triaged by DUK staff based on strategic fit, eligibility, and completeness

Applications deemed in scope are shared with Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) and Patient Advisory Board (PAB) for feedback

Feedback from SAB & PAB reviewed by DUK staff and decision on whether to recommend for funding or not

Proposals recommended for funding are taken forward to DUK Board for final approval
Proposals will be assessed against:

- Scientific excellence
- Relevance and impact on the field
- Research team and environment
- Resources requested – please note that Duchenne UK does not fund indirect costs.

All applicants will receive the feedback from the Director of Research about their proposal, as well as including anonymised feedback from the SAB and PAB reviewers. To find out more about our reviewers, please visit Our advisory boards website pages.

A recommendation for funding requires that at least 75% of the SAB and PAB responses are in favour. A minimum of 3 responses is required from both the SAB and PAB.

For proposals which requires a substantial amount of funding, or lie outside the scope of experience for our SAB, we will recruit external reviewers who have a greater knowledge of the research area. For any clinical trial applications, we refer to the TACT committee (the TREAT–NMD Advisory Committee for Therapeutics) for review. TACT is a group of academic and industry drug development specialists who review and provide guidance on the translation and development path of therapeutics programs in rare neuromuscular diseases.

Conflict of Interest

Duchenne UK makes every effort to ensure that decisions are fair, objective and transparent.

Details of proposals and related correspondence, as well as the identity of external referees are treated as strictly confidential, kept secure and not shared with anyone outside of the review process.

When a reviewer, either external or internal, is connected with an application, they must declare an interest and withdraw from any consideration of the application, including retiring from any meeting where the application is discussed. Such conflicts of interest might include previous collaboration with one of the applicants or co-applicants, being related to the applicant or co-applicants, or financial interests, such as shares, relevant to the application.

If reviewers fail to alert Duchenne UK of a conflict of interest, then they may be asked to step down as a reviewer. If they are unsure as to whether a conflict of interest exists, they should discuss any potential conflict of interest with the Director of Research.

Applicants should not directly approach the reviewers in connection with their research application, and reviewers should refuse any requests for information or feedback from applicants on how a particular judgement was reached. All communication with the applicant will be led by the Director of Research with support from the Programmes Manager.
Use of Animals in Research

Duchenne UK is committed to the 3Rs relating to the use of animals in research – Refine, Reduce, Replace, and support the AMRC’s statement on the use of animals in research.

If you intend to use animals in the proposal you are submitting, you should make reference to how you have taken into account this principle. Research funded outside of the UK must be carried out in the spirit of UK legislation as well as being compliant with all local legislation and ethical review processes. For more information on our policy on the Use of Animals in Research, please view our full policy here.

Supporting Research in Universities

We also support the AMRC’s position statement on supporting research in universities.